

Tetrahedron 59 (2003) 5307–5315

TETRAHEDRON

New antimitotic bicyclic peptides, celogentins D–H, and J, from the seeds of Celosia argentea

Hayato Suzuki,^a Hiroshi Morita,^a Shigeo Iwasaki^b and Jun'ichi Kobayashi^{a,*}

a^aGraduate School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-0812, Japan
PKitasato Institute for Life Science, Kitasato University, Tokyo 108,8642, Japan ^bKitasato Institute for Life Science, Kitasato University, Tokyo 108-8642, Japan

Received 21 April 2003; revised 9 May 2003; accepted 12 May 2003

Abstract—Six new bicyclic peptides, celogentins $D-H (1-5)$ and J (6) have been isolated from the seeds of *Celosia argentea*, and the structures including its absolute stereochemistry were determined by using extensive NMR methods and chemical means. Celogentins E–H $(2-5)$ and J (6) showed potent inhibition of tubulin polymerization, while the inhibitory activity of celogentin D (1) was modest. Structure– activity relationship study indicates that ring size of the bicyclic ring system including unusual β^s -Leu, Trp, and His residues would be important for their biological activity. $©$ 2003 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

Tubulin is the main structural component of microtubules, which play important roles in mitosis, cell signaling and motility in eucaryotes, and interacts with a wide range of natural compounds.^{[1](#page-8-0)} Among them, two representative antimitotic drugs, paclitaxel, which induces microtubule bundling, and vinblastine, which induces microtubule disassembly, bind to different sites of tubulin and show opposite effects in vitro.^{[1](#page-8-0)} The antimitotic agents interfering with the natural dynamics of tubulin polymerization and depolymerization have potential applications in drug development and have received a considerable amount of attention.[2](#page-8-0)

Previous studies have shown that three new bicyclic peptides, celogentins A–C $(7-9)$,^{[3](#page-8-0)} and moroidin (10) ,^{[4](#page-8-0)} from the seeds of Celosia argentea (Amaranthaceae) remarkably inhibited the tubulin polymerization. During our continuous search for antimitotic compounds from C. argentea, we isolated six new bicyclic peptides, celogentins D–H $(1–5)$ and J (6) , which showed inhibition of the tubulin polymerization. In this paper we describe the isolation, structure elucidation, and antimitotic activity of $1-6$.

1. Structure elucidation

The seeds of C. argentea were extracted with MeOH, and the MeOH extract was in turn partitioned with hexane, EtOAc, and n -BuOH. n -BuOH-soluble materials were subjected to a Diaion HP-20 column (MeOH/H₂O, $0:1 \rightarrow 1:0$), in which each fraction eluted with 60, 80, and

100% MeOH, respectively, was purified by an amino silica gel column (CHCl₃/MeOH/H₂O, 7:3:0.5 \rightarrow 6:4:1) followed by C_{18} HPLC (23–34% CH₃CN/0.1% CF₃CO₂H) to afford celogentins D (1, 0.00004% yield), E (2, 0.0008%), F (3,

0040–4020/03/\$ - see front matter © 2003 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/S0040-4020(03)00762-2

Keywords: peptides; Celosia argentea; tubulin polymerization.

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +81-117064985; fax: +81-117064989; e-mail: jkobay@pharm.hokudai.ac.jp

5308 H. Suzuki et al. / Tetrahedron 59 (2003) 5307–5315


```
b 310 K.
```
0.001%), G (4, 0.0007%), H (5, 0.00004%), and J (6, 0.00003%) as colorless solids together with celogentins A–C $(7-9)^3$ $(7-9)^3$ and moroidin $(10)^5$ $(10)^5$

FABMS data of celogentin D (1) $\{[\alpha]_D^{24} = -33^\circ \, (c \, 0.4, 50\%$ MeOH)} showed the pseudomolecular ion at m/z 1196 $(M+H)^+$, and the molecular formula, $C_{57}H_{82}N_{18}O_{11}$, was established by HRFABMS [m/z 1195.6480, $(M+H)^+$, Δ

 -1.0 mmul. IR absorptions implied the presence of amide carbonyl group (1660 cm^{-1}) , while the UV absorption at 283 nm indicated the presence of aromatic chromophore.

Standard amino acid analysis of the hydrolysates of 1 showed the presence of 1 mol of glutamic acid (Glu), leucine (Leu), valine (Val), arginine (Arg), and lysine (Lys), and 2 mol of histidine (His). The ¹ H NMR ([Table 1](#page-1-0)) spectrum of 1 in DMSO- d_6 showed nine proton resonances $(\delta 4.11 - 5.00)$, which were indicative of α -protons of amino acid residues. The presence of 6 methyl groups, 13 methylenes, 13 methines, and 7 olefins was indicated by the 13 C NMR [\(Table 2](#page-3-0)) spectrum. The unusual amino acids, β -substituted Leu (β ^s-Leu) and 2,6-substituted Trp (Trp), which have been previously observed for celogentins A–C $(7-9)$,^{[3](#page-8-0)} were revealed by analysis of the ¹H and ¹³C NMR data [\(Tables 1 and 2](#page-1-0), respectively) aided by ${}^{1}H-{}^{1}H$ COSY, HOHAHA, HMQC, and HMBC experiments. These data combined with observation of ten carbonyl signals (δ) 169.02–177.23) including a PyroGlu residue suggested that 1 was a nonapeptide.

The cyclic peptide nature and sequence (PyroGlu¹- β^s -Leu²-Leu³-Val⁴-Trp⁵-Arg⁶-His⁷-His⁸-Lys⁹) of celogentin D (1) were elucidated by detailed analysis of NOESY correlations as shown in [Figure 1](#page-3-0). The connection between $C-2$ of $Trp⁵$ and N-3 of His⁷ was suggested by NOESY correlations of H-2 and H-4 of His⁷/NH-1 of Trp^5 . The connection between $C\beta$ of β^s -Leu² and C-6 of Trp⁵ was deduced from NOESY correlations of H-5 of Trp/H α and H γ of β ^s-Leu and H-7 of Trp/H β of β^s -Leu and $\overline{3}J$ coupling (11.0 Hz) between H α and $H\beta$ of β ^s-Leu as shown in computer-generated 3D drawing [\(Fig. 2\)](#page-3-0). Thus, the whole sequence of celogentin D was assigned as 1.

The absolute configurations of the PyroGlu¹, Leu³, Val⁴, Arg⁶, His⁷, His⁸, and Lys⁹ residues in celogentin D (1) were assigned as all L-configurations by chiral HPLC analysis of the hydrolysates of 1 . The Trp⁵ residue was transformed into

Table 2. ¹³C NMR data (δ C) of Celogentins D–H (1–5) and J (6) in $DMSO-d₆$

		$1^{\rm a}$	$2^{\rm b}$	3 ^b	4 ^b	5 ^b	6 ^b
PyroGlu ¹	α β γ δ С ΞO	54.87 25.29 28.74 177.23 172.27	54.81 25.45 28.98 177.30 172.51	54.58 24.91 28.40 177.12 171.64	55.03 25.36 28.89 177.35 172.09	55.06 25.38 28.90 177.38 172.06	54.85 25.18 28.64 177.38 171.86
β^s -Leu ²	α β γ δ С =O	54.80 52.55 26.77 17.33 23.00 169.80	54.72 51.40 26.70 17.34 22.54 169.33	54.23 50.93 26.12 16.62 21.20 170.45	54.75 51.53 26.64 17.12 22.90 171.45	54.70 51.36 26.59 17.10 21.69 171.40	54.52 51.39 26.40 18.39 22.73 170.77
Leu ³	α β γ δ $C=0$	51.09 43.49 23.45 20.63 21.81 173.04	52.00 41.80 23.84 21.28 22.56 171.46	51.52 41.32 23.34 20.33 22.46 168.91	51.89 41.94 23.78 20.83 21.91 171.98	51.99 41.71 24.27 20.84 22.93 170.40	51.75 41.61 24.12 20.64 21.49 169.19
Val ⁴	α β γ $c = 0$	58.61 29.28 16.54 18.90 169.23	57.55 31.11 18.51 22.66 168.17	57.05 30.33 17.83 21.44 168.75			
$\text{I} \text{I} \text{e}^4$	α β γ1 γ ² δ $c = 0$				56.71 36.89 14.30 24.36 11.40 169.43	56.51 37.03 14.19 23.25 11.43 169.35	56.35 36.76 14.04 22.73 11.22 168.92
Trp^5	α β C ₂ C ₃ C4 C ₅ C6 C7 C8 C9 $C=0$	52.02 25.70 119.90 102.74 117.80 119.80 131.85 114.45 132.50 125.60 169.02	48.94 27.07 128.53 101.53 119.22 119.36 131.65 113.96 132.67 124.98 169.21	48.56 26.56 126.10 100.68 118.65 118.84 131.83 113.45 132.20 124.97 169.02	49.11 26.58 127.78 102.46 119.17 119.62 132.72 114.06 131.46 124.97 169.11	48.83 27.02 124.97 101.32 119.21 119.39 119.22 113.96 137.35 132.68 171.17	48.89 26.40 124.91 102.35 119.17 118.89 130.64 113.71 132.45 125.23 171.23
Arg^6	α β γ δ ε $C=0$	51.06 29.73 24.80 40.15 156.70 170.40	54.18 28.17 25.27 40.43 156.80 171.11	53.35 27.63 24.70 39.75 156.46 170.40	53.43 28.51 25.00 40.24 156.88 171.80	53.96 27.94 25.16 40.26 156.81 172.49	53.60 27.95 24.94 39.97 156.71 172.83
His ⁷	α β C1 C ₂ C4 $C=0$	52.55 31.03 128.90 120.05 137.98 170.80					
Gly^7	$^{\alpha}$ $c = 0$		42.61 168.19	42.15 167.71	42.58 168.38	42.59 168.19	42.48 167.98
His ⁸	α β C1 C ₂ C ₄ $C=0$	51.17 27.01 125.70 116.75 134.20 170.60	50.38 31.95 131.43 117.56 137.40 170.39	50.07 31.72 130.37 116.35 136.38 171.05	51.37 29.34 134.28 118.84 137.27 171.55	50.37 31.73 130.96 117.69 137.33 171.49	50.42 30.93 131.44 117.05 137.46 171.31
Lys^9	α β γ δ ε	51.85 30.25 24.27 51.85 30.25					

 $\frac{a}{b}$ 315 K.
b 310 K.

Figure 1. Selected 2D NMR correlations for celogentin D (1).

Asp by treatment of 1 with $O_3/AcOH$ and then H_2O_2 followed by acid hydrolysis.[3](#page-8-0) Chiral HPLC analysis of the Asp in the degradation products revealed it to be L-form, indicating S-configuration at $C\alpha$ of the Trp⁵ residue. Since almost the same NOESY correlations and vicinal coupling around β^s -Leu² residue of 1 as those of moroidin (10) were observed, the absolute configurations at $C\alpha$ and C β of the β^s -Leu² residue were elucidated to be S and R, respectively. Therefore, the structure of celogentin D was concluded to be 1.

Figure 2. Selected NOESY correlations (dotted arrows) for left hand part of celogentin D (1). To clarify the backbone structure, the right-hand part was omitted.

HRFABMS data $[m/z]$ 1101.5360, $(M)^+$, Δ +0.4 mmu] of celogentin E (2) indicated the molecular formula to be $C_{51}H_{71}N_{15}O_{13}$. The IR absorption (1660 cm⁻¹) implied the presence of amide carbonyl functionality. Amino acid analysis of the hydrolysates of 2 showed the presence of 1 mol each of Glu, Leu, Val, Arg, Gly, His, and Asp. The ¹H and 13C NMR [\(Tables 1 and 2,](#page-1-0) respectively) spectra of 2 revealed signals due to 10 carbonyl carbons, 12 sp³ methines, 10 methylenes, and 6 methyl, implying that 2 was also nonapeptide. Detailed analyses of 2D NMR (1 H–¹ H COSY, HOHAHA, HMQC, and HMBC) spectra of 2 and comparison of the 13 C chemical shifts of the bicyclic part of 2 with those of moroidin $(10)^5$ $(10)^5$ indicated the presence of the same bicyclic skeleton as that of 10. The remaining Asp residue was suggested to be connected to His⁸ from NOESY correlations of H α and H₂ β of His⁸/ amide NH of Asp⁹.

The absolute configurations of the PyroGlu¹, Leu³, Val⁴, Arg⁶, His⁸, and Asp⁹ residues in 2 were assigned as all L-configurations by chiral HPLC analysis of the hydrolysates of 2. The absolute configurations of the β^s -Leu² and the Trp⁵ residue were elucidated to be the same as those of 1 by the same methods described above. Thus, the structure of celogentin E was determined to be 2.

HRFABMS data $[m/z]$ 1143.6180, $(M+H)^+$, Δ +0.4 mmu] of celogentin F (3) indicated the molecular formula to be $C_{53}H_{78}N_{18}O_{11}$. The IR absorption (1660 cm⁻¹) implied the presence of amide carbonyl functionality. Amino acid analysis of the hydrolysates of 3 showed the presence of 1 mol each of Glu, Leu, Val, Gly, and His, and 2 mol of Arg. Detailed analyses of 2D NMR spectra of 3 indicated the presence of the same bicyclic skeleton from $PyroGlu¹$ to His⁸ as that of 2. NOESY correlations of H α and H₂ β of His⁸/amide NH of Arg⁹ indicated that 3 possessed Arg⁹ in place of $Asp⁹$ of 2 in the N-terminus. The absolute configuration of each amino acid in 3 was assigned as all L-configurations by chiral HPLC analysis of the hydrolysates of 3.

HRFABMS data $[m/z 1001.5340, (M+H)^+, \Delta +1.8 \text{ mmu}]$ of celogentin G (4) revealed the molecular formula to be $C_{48}H_{68}N_{14}O_{10}$. Amino acid analysis of the hydrolysates of 4 showed the presence of 1 mol each of Glu, Leu, isoleucine (Ile), Arg, and His. The 1 H and 13 C NMR ([Tables 1 and 2](#page-1-0), respectively) spectra of 4 revealed the presence of nine carbonyl carbons, 12 sp³ methines, 9 methylenes, and 6 methyls. The structure of 4 was elucidated by 2D NMR (¹H-¹H COSY, HOHAHA, HMQC, and HMBC) data. HMBC and NOESY (Fig. 3) correlations indicated that celogentin G (4) possessed Ile in place of Val of moroidin $(10).⁵$ $(10).⁵$ $(10).⁵$ The absolute configuration of each amino acid in 4 was assigned as all L-configurations by chiral HPLC analysis of the hydrolysates of 4. Therefore, the structure of celogentin G was determined to be 4.

Celogentins $H(5)$ and $J(6)$ were shown to have the molecular formulae, $C_{52}H_{73}N_{15}O_{13}$ and $C_{54}H_{80}N_{18}O_{11}$, respectively, by HRFABMS data $[m/z]$ 1115.5570, $(M)^+$, Δ +5.8 mmu for 5; 1157.6340, $(M+H)^+$, Δ +0.8 mmu for 6]. Amino acid analysis of the hydrolysates of 5 showed the presence of 1 mol each of Glu, Leu, Ile, Arg, His, and Asp,

←---- NOESY - HMBC $1H-1H$ COSY & HOHAHA Figure 3. Selected 2D NMR correlations for celogentin G (4).

while those of 6 showed the presence of 1 mol each of Glu, Leu, Ile, and His, and 2 mol of Arg. ¹H and ¹³C NMR data ([Tables 1 and 2](#page-1-0), respectively) and 2D NMR correlations for 5 and 6 were almost same as those of celogentin G (4) except for the presence of additional Asp⁹ and Arg⁹ residues for 5 and 6, respectively. The absolute configuration of each amino acid in 5 and 6 was assigned as all L-configurations by chiral HPLC analysis of the hydrolysates of 5 and 6, respectively. Thus, the structures of celogentins H and J were elucidated to be 5 and 6, respectively.

1.1. Inhibition of tubulin polymerization

It is known that antimitotic peptides such as ustiloxin $A₀$ ^{[6](#page-8-0)} arenastatin $A₁⁷$ $A₁⁷$ $A₁⁷$ phomopsin $A₁⁸$ $A₁⁸$ $A₁⁸$ and dolastatin $10⁹$ $10⁹$ $10⁹$ bind to vinca alkaloid binding site. In the previous study, celogentins $A-C$ (7–9) and moroidin (10) have been found to inhibit the polymerization of tubulin.^{[4](#page-8-0)} In this study such inhibitory activity was observed for celogentins $D-H (1–5)$ and J (6) (Table 3). Inhibitory effects of celogentins $E(2)$ and $C(9)$ to tubulin polymerization are shown in [Figures 4](#page-5-0) [and 5,](#page-5-0) in which tubulin polymerization was inhibited in a concentration-dependent manner.

The unique β^s -Leu-Trp-His moiety as a common structural element may be important for inhibition of tubulin polymerization. Celogentin C (9: IC₅₀ 0.8 μ M) with a Pro residue in the right hand ring showed 30 times more potent than celogentins A (7: IC₅₀ 20 μ M) and D (1: IC₅₀ 20 μ M), and moroidin (10: IC₅₀ 3.0 μ M), and celogentins E (2: IC₅₀ 2.5 μ M) and F (3: IC₅₀ 3.0 μ M) with a Gly residue in the right hand ring showed 10 times more potent than 1 and 7.

Table 3. Inhibitory effects of celogentins A–C $(7-9)$, D–H $(1-5)$, J (6) , moroidin (10), and vinblastine to the polymerization of tubulin

Compounds	$IC_{50}(\mu M)$	Compounds	IC_{50} (μ M)
Celogentin A (7)	20	Moroidin (10)	3.0
Celogentin B (8)	30	Hydrolysate 11	20
Celogentin $C(9)$	0.8	Hydrolysate 12	80
Celogentin $D(1)$	20	Hydrolysate 13	>100
Celogentin E (2)	2.5	Hydrolysate 14	>100
Celogentin $F(3)$	3.0	Vinblastine	3.0
Celogentin $G(4)$	4.0		
Celogentin $H(5)$	2.0		
Celogentin $J(6)$	3.0		

Figure 4. Inhibitory effects of celogentin E (2) and vinblastine to the polymerization of tubulin protein. Various concentrations of 2 were mixed with tubulin protein (1.0 mg/mL) at 0° C and incubated at 37 $^{\circ}$ C.

Figure 5. Effects of celogentin C (9) and vinblastine to the depolymerization of microtubule protein. Tubulin proteins (1.0 mg/mL) were incubated at 37°C. Various concentrations of 9 were added after 20 min incubation.

The potency of celogentins G (4: IC_{50} 4.0 μ M), H (5: IC_{50} 2.0 μ M), and J (6: IC₅₀ 3.0 μ M) with a Ile⁴ residue was comparable to that of celogentins $E(2)$ and $F(3)$ with a Val⁴ residue. The addition of a Lys⁹ residue to celogentin B (8) seems not to influence severely the inhibitory activity.

On the other hand, some derivatives of moroidin (10) such as the Glu¹ derivative (11), the Glu¹ derivative with bond cleavage between the Gly⁷ and His⁸ residues (12), the Glu¹ derivative with bond cleavage between the $Arg⁶$ and $Gly⁷$ residues (13) , and the derivative without the PyroGlu¹ residue (14), the last of which was prepared by treatment of moroidin (10) with 2N HCl, were found to be less potent $(IC_{50}, 20 \mu M$ for 11; $80 \mu M$ for 12; $>100 \mu M$ for 13; $>100 \mu M$ for 14, respectively) than moroidin (10) itself, indicating that the presence of the $PyroGlu¹$ residue is important for the activity.

These bicyclic peptides are revealed to bind directly to tubulin proteins, since celogentin $C(9)$ and moroidin (10)

inhibited polymerization of tubulin proteins, which were obtained by remove of microtuble-associated proteins (MAPs) from microtubule proteins through phospho-cellulose column chromatography.^{[12](#page-8-0)}

2. Experimental

2.1. General methods

¹H spectra were recorded in DMSO- d_6 on a 600 MHz spectrometers (Bruker AMX600) using 2.5 mm micro cells (Shigemi Co. Ltd.) at $300-330\,$ K, while 13 C NMR spectra were measured on a 150 MHz spectrometer. The NMR samples of celogentins D–H and J $(1-6)$ were prepared by dissolving 2.0 mg in 30 μ L of DMSO- d_6 and chemical shifts were reported using residual DMSO- d_6 (δ_H 2.50 and δ_C 39.5) as internal standards. Standard pulse sequences were employed for the 2D NMR experiments. COSY, HOHAHA, and NOESY spectra were measured with spectral widths of both dimensions of 4800 Hz, and 32 scans with two dummy scans were accumulated into 1 K data points for each of 256 t_1 increments. NOESY and HOHAHA spectra in the phase sensitive mode were measured with a mixing time of 800 and 30 ms, respectively. For HMQC spectra in the phase sensitive

mode and HMBC spectra, a total of 256 increments of 1 K data points were collected. For HMBC spectra with Z-axis PFG, a 50 ms delay time was used for long-range C–H coupling. Zero-filling to 1 K for F_1 and multiplication with squared cosine-bell windows shifted in both dimensions were performed prior to 2D Fourier transformation. FABMS was measured on a JEOL JMS-HX110 by using glycerol as matrix.

2.2. Material

The seeds of Celosia argentea were purchased from Uchida Wakannyaku Co. in 1996. The botanical identification was made by Mr N. Yoshida, Health Sciences University of Hokkaido. A voucher specimen has been deposited in the herbarium of Hokkaido University.

2.3. Extraction and isolation

The seeds (13.5 kg) of C. argentea were crushed and extracted with MeOH $(18$ L \times 3), and the MeOH extract was in turn partitioned with hexane, EtOAc, and n -BuOH. The n-BuOH-soluble materials were subjected to a Diaion HP-20 column (MeOH/H₂O, 0:1 \rightarrow 1:0), in which a fraction eluted with 60–100% MeOH was purified by an amino silica gel column (CHCl₃/MeOH/H₂O, 7:3:0.5 \rightarrow 6:4:1) followed by C_{18} HPLC (CH₃CN/0.1% CF₃CO₂H, 25:75) to afford celogentins D (1, 0.00004% yield), E (2, 0.0008%), F (3, 0.003%), G (4, 0.0007%), H (5, 0.0004%), and J (6, 0.0003%), as colorless solids together with celogentins A–C (7–9) and moroidin $(10).5$ $(10).5$

2.3.1. Celogentin D (**1**). Colorless solid; $[\alpha]_D^{24} = -33^\circ$ (*c* 0.4, 50% MeOH); UV (MeOH) λ_{max} (log ε) 283 (3.8) and 226 (4.3) nm; IR (KBr) v_{max} 3400, 2960, 1660, and 1545 cm⁻¹;
¹H and ¹³C NMR (Tables 1 and 2): EABMS m/z 1195 ¹H and ¹³C NMR ([Tables 1 and 2](#page-1-0)); FABMS m/z 1195 $(M+H)^+$; HRFABMS m/z 1195.6480 (M+H; calcd for $C_{57}H_{83}N_{18}O_{11}$, 1195.6490).

2.3.2. Celogentin E (2). Colorless solid; $[\alpha]_D^{22} = -39^\circ$ (c 0.5, 50% MeOH); UV (MeOH) λ_{max} (log ε) 282 (3.6) and 225 (4.2) nm; IR (KBr) ν_{max} 3390, 2930, 1660, and 1380 cm⁻¹;
¹H and ¹³C NMR (Tables 1 and 2): EABMS m/z 1101 (M)⁺; ¹H and ¹³C NMR ([Tables 1 and 2\)](#page-1-0); FABMS m/z 1101 (M)⁺; HRFABMS m/z 1101.5360 (M; calcd for $C_{51}H_{71}N_{15}O_{13}$, 1101.5356).

2.3.3. Celogentin F (3). Colorless solid; $[\alpha]_D^{22} = -31^\circ$ (c 0.5, 50% MeOH); UV (MeOH) λ_{max} (log ε) 282 (3.6) and 225 (4.2) nm; IR (KBr) v_{max} 3390, 2930, 1660, and 1380 cm⁻¹;
¹H and ¹³C NMR (Tables 1 and 2): EABMS m/z 1143 ¹H and ¹³C NMR ([Tables 1 and 2](#page-1-0)); FABMS m/z 1143 $(M+H)^+$; HRFABMS m/z 1143.6180 (M+H; calcd for $C_{53}H_{79}N_{18}O_{11}$, 1143.6176).

2.3.4. Celogentin G (4). Colorless solid; $[\alpha]_D^{22} = -47^\circ$ (c 1.0, 50% MeOH); UV (MeOH) λ_{max} (log ε) 283 (3.7) and 226 (4.2) nm; IR (KBr) ν_{max} 3280, 2960, 1660, 1530, and 1200 cm^{-1} ; ¹H and ¹³C NMR [\(Tables 1 and 2\)](#page-1-0); FABMS m/z 1001 (M+H)⁺; HRFABMS m/z 1001.5340 (M+H; calcd for $C_{48}H_{69}N_{14}O_{10}$, 1001.5322).

2.3.5. Celogentin H (5). Colorless solid; $[\alpha]_D^{22} = -40^\circ$ (c 0.5, 50% MeOH); UV (MeOH) λ_{max} (log ε) 282 (3.6) and 225 (4.2) nm; IR (KBr) ν_{max} 3390, 2930, 1660, and 1380 cm⁻¹;

¹H and ¹³C NMR ([Tables 1 and 2\)](#page-1-0); FABMS m/z 1115 (M)⁺; HRFABMS m/z 1115.5570 (M; calcd for $C_{52}H_{73}N_{15}O_{13}$, 1115.5512).

2.3.6. Celogentin J (6). Colorless solid; $[\alpha]_D^{22} = -38^\circ$ (*c* 0.4, 50% MeOH); UV (MeOH) λ_{max} (log ε) 282 (3.6) and 225 (4.2) nm; IR (KBr) v_{max} 3390, 2930, 1660, and 1380 cm⁻¹;
¹H and ¹³C NMR (Tables 1 and 2): EABMS m/z 1157 ¹H and ¹³C NMR ([Tables 1 and 2](#page-1-0)); FABMS m/z 1157 $(M+H)^+$; HRFABMS m/z 1157.6340 (M+H; calcd for $C_{54}H_{81}N_{18}O_{11}$, 1157.6332).

2.4. Amino acid analysis of 1–6

Each solution of $1-6$ (0.1 mg each) in 6N HCl was heated at 110° C for 24 h in a sealed tube. After cooling, each solution was concentrated to dryness. The hydrolysates were dissolved in 0.02N HCl and subjected to amino acid analyzer.

2.5. Absolute configurations of amino acids

Each solution of $1-6$ (each 0.1 mg) in 6N HCl (0.2 mL) was heated at 110° for 24 h. The solution was concentrated to dryness. The residue was dissolved in $H₂O$ (50 μ L) and chiral HPLC analyses were carried out using a SUMI-CHIRAL OA-5000 column (Sumitomo Chemical Industry; 150 mm; 25° C, detection at 254 nm). Retention times (min) of authentic amino acids were as follows: L-Glu (19.2), D-Glu (24.2), L-Val (6.1), D-Val (9.0), L-Arg (2.2), D-Arg (2.4), L-His (9.4), D-His (7.8), L-Asp (12.9), D-Asp (17.0), L-Leu (13.6), D-Leu (20.2), L-Ile (15.5), and D-Ile (22.1) [eluent: MeOH/H₂O (15:85) containing 2.0 mM CuSO₄, flow rate 1.0 mL/min ; L-Lys (12.0) and D-Lys (13.6) [eluent: H₂O containing 1.0 mM CuSO₄, flow rate 0.2 mL/ min]; Retention times of the hydrolysates of 1–6 were as follows: 1, L-Glu (19.1), L-Val (6.1), L-Arg (2.2), L-His (9.4), L-Leu (13.6), and L-Lys (12.0); 2, L-Glu (19.1), L-Val (6.1), L-Arg (2.2), L-His (9.4), L-Asp (12.9), and L-Leu (13.6); 3, L-Glu (19.1), L-Val (6.1), L-Arg (2.2), L-His (9.4), and L-Leu (13.6); 4, L-Glu (19.1), L-Ile (15.5), L-Leu (13.6), L-Arg (2.2), and L-His (9.4); 5, L-Glu (19.1), L-Ile (15.5), L-Leu (13.6), L-Arg (2.2), L-His (9.4), and L-Asp (12.9); 6, L-Glu (19.1), L-Ile (15.5), L-Leu (13.6), L-Arg (2.2), and L-His (9.4).

2.6. Absolute configuration of Trp

Each celogentins D–H, and J $(1-6,$ each 0.1 mg) in AcOH (0.2 mL) was treated with ozone at -78° C for 1 min. After removal of excess ozone by a stream of nitrogen, the mixture was treated with 30% H₂O₂ (200 μ L) at room temperature for 3 h. The reaction mixture was concentrated and was hydrolyzed with 6N HCl (100 mL) at 110° C for 6 h. The hydrolysate was subjected to chiral HPLC analyses [SUMICHIRAL OA-5000, 4×150 mm; 40 $^{\circ}$ C, flow rate, 1.0 mL/min; eluent; MeOH/H₂O (15:85) containing 2.0 mM CuSO₄]. The retention times of authentic L- and D-Asp were found to be 12.9 and 17.0 min, respectively. The retention time of Asp in the degradation products of $1-6$ was found to be 12.9 min $(L-Asp).$

2.6.1. Partial hydrolysis of moroidin (10). A solution of moroidin (10, 10 mg) in 2N HCl was heated at 70° C for 2 h

in a sealed tube. After cooling, the solution was concentrated to dryness. The hydrolysate was subjected to C_{18} HPLC (Mightysil RP-18, $5 \mu m$, Kanto Chemical Co., Inc., 10×250 mm; eluent, 20% CH₃CN/0.1% TFA; flow rate, 2 mL/min; UV detection at 205 nm) to afford hydrolysates 11 (1.2 mg), 12 (1.1 mg), 13 (1.0 mg), and 14 (0.9 mg). Hydrolysate 11: ¹H NMR (600 MHz in DMSO- d_6 , 320 K) δ 0.69 and 0.76 (each 3H, d, 6.5, Leu³-H_b), 0.76 and 0.79 (each 3H, d, 7.5, Val⁴-H_Y), 0.76 and 0.85 (each 3H, d, 7.2, β^{S} -Leu²-H_b), 1.20 and 1.40 (each 1H, m, Leu³-H_pp), 1.35 (each 2H, m, Leu³-H γ), 1.52 (2H, m, Arg⁶-H γ), 1.63 and 1.73 (each 1H, m, Arg⁶-Hβ), 1.88 and 2.27 (each 1H, m, Glu¹-H β), 1.88 (1H, m, Val⁴-H β), 2.16 (2H, m, Glu¹-H γ), 2.17 (1H, m, β^5 -Leu²-H γ), 2.64 (1H, m, Trp⁵-H β), 2.87 and 3.12 (each 1H, m, His⁸-H β), 3.01 (1H, dd, 3.3, 11.9, β ^S-Leu²-H β), 3.11 (2H, m, Arg⁶-H δ), 3.34 (1H, m, Trp⁵-H β), 3.64 and 3.70 (each 1H, m, Gly⁷-H α), 3.74 (1H, m, Val⁴-H α), 4.01 (1H, t, 10.9, Leu³-H α), 3.86 (1H, m, Glu¹-H α), 4.18 (1H, q, 7.0, Arg⁶-H α), 4.71 (1H, t, 10.4, His⁸-H α), 4.86 (1H, t, 10.4, β^S -Leu²-H α), 5.41 (1H, q, 8.6, Trp⁵-H α), 6.87 $(1H, s, Trp⁵-H7), 6.90 (1H, d, 8.0, Va¹⁴-NH), 7.00 (1H, d,$ 8.3, Trp⁵-H5), 7.34 (1H, m, His⁸-H2), 7.51 (1H, d, 8.6, Trp⁵-H4), 7.54 (1H, m, Gly⁷-NH), 7.73 (1H, m, Arg⁶-He), 7.81 $(1H, d, 8.0, Trp⁵-NH), 7.92 (1H, br s, His⁸-H4), 8.31 (1H, s,$ Glu¹-NH), 8.44 (1H, d, 9.3, Leu³-NH), 8.54 (1H, d, 7.7, His⁸-NH), 8.62 (1H, d, 6.2, Arg⁶-NH), 8.98 (1H, d, 8.3, β ^S-Leu²-NH), 11.52 (1H, s, Trp⁵-NH1); FABMS m/z 1005 $(M+H)^+$; HRFABMS m/z 1005.5300 (M+H; calcd for $C_{47}H_{69}N_{14}O_{11}$, 1005.5270). Hydrolysate 12: ¹H NMR (600 MHz in DMSO- d_6 , 320 K) δ 0.69 and 0.77 (each 3H, d, 6.5, Leu³-H_b), 0.75 and 0.85 (each 3H, m, Val⁴-H_Y), 0.74 and 0.84 (each 3H, d, 6.9, β^S -Leu²-H δ), 1.24 and 1.42 (each 1H, m, Leu³-Hβ), 1.33 (1H, m, Leu³-Hγ), 1.54 (2H, m, Arg⁶-H γ), 1.56 and 1.59 (each 1H, m, Arg⁶-H β), 1.85 (1H, m, Val⁴-H β), 1.89 and 2.06 (each 1H, m, Glu¹-H β), (1H, m, β^S -Leu²-H γ), 1.95 (2H, m, Glu¹-H γ), 2.92 and 3.34 (each 1H, m, Trp⁵-Hβ), 3.01 (1H, m, β^S-Leu²-Hβ), 3.11 (2H, m, Arg⁶-H_b), 2.93, 3.03 (each 1H, m, His⁸-H_B), 3.85, 4.01 (each 1H, m, Gly⁷-H α), 3.72 (1H, m, Val⁴-H α), 3.85 (1H, m, Glu¹-H α), 4.00 (1H, m, Leu³-H α), 4.55 (1H, m, His⁸-Hα), 3.85 (1H, m, Arg⁶-Hα), 4.83 (1H, m, β^S-Leu²-Hα), 5.39 (1H, m, Trp⁵-Hα), 6.83 (1H, s, Trp⁵-H7), 7.11 (1H, m, Val⁴-NH), 6.97 (1H, d, 7.8, Trp⁵-H5), 7.51 (1H, m, Trp⁵-H4), 7.75 (1H, m, Arg⁶-He), 8.25 (1H, br s, Gly⁷-NH), 8.39 (1H, m, Leu³-NH), 8.23 (1H, m, Trp⁵-NH), 8.73 (1H, m, Arg⁶-NH), 8.97 (1H, d, 7.0, β ^S-Leu²-NH), 11.49 (1H, s, $Trp⁵-NH1$); FABMS m/z 1023 (M+H)⁺; HRFABMS m/z 1023.5380 (M+H; calcd for $C_{47}H_{71}N_{14}O_{12}$, 1023.5375). Hydrolysate 13: ¹H NMR (600 MHz in DMSO- d_6 , 320 K) δ 0.66 and 0.76 (each 3H, d, 6.2, Leu³-H δ), 0.67 and 0.75 (each 3H, d, 6.6, Val⁴-H γ), 0.75 and 0.82 (each 3H, d, 7.0, β^{S} -Leu²-H_b), 1.17 and 1.43 (each 1H, m, Leu³-H_pp), 1.36 (each 2H, m, Leu³-H γ), 1.52 (2H, m, Arg⁶-H γ), 1.61 and 1.67 (each 1H, m, Arg⁶-Hβ), 1.73 (1H, m, Val⁴-Hβ), 1.88 and 2.26 (each 1H, m, Glu¹-H β), 2.15 (1H, m, β^{S} -Leu²-H γ), 2.16 (2H, m, Glu¹-H γ), 2.62 and 3.32 (each 1H, m, Trp⁵-Hβ), 3.04 (1H, m, β^S-Leu²-Hβ), 3.14 (2H, m, Arg⁶-Hδ), 3.16 (2H, m, His⁸-H β), 3.32 (2H, m, Gly⁷-H α), 3.57 (1H, m, Val⁴-H α), 3.84 (1H, m, Glu¹-H α), 3.94 (1H, m, Leu³-H α), 4.10 (1H, m, His⁸-H α), 4.40 (1H, m, Arg⁶-H α), 4.86 (1H, m, β^{S} -Leu²-H α), 5.69 (1H, m, Trp⁵-H α), 6.83 (1H, s, Trp⁵-H7), 6.96 (1H, m, Val⁴-NH), 6.96 (1H, d, 7.8, Trp⁵-H5), 7.64 $(1H, m, Trp⁵-H4), 7.88$ $(1H, m, Arg⁶-He), 8.31$ $(1H, br, s)$

Gly⁷-NH), 8.41 (1H, m, Leu³-NH), 8.58 (1H, m, Trp⁵-NH), 8.58 (1H, m, Arg⁶-NH), 8.96 (1H, d, 7.0, β ^S-Leu²-NH), 11.73 (1H, s, Trp⁵-NH1); FABMS m/z 1023 (M+H)⁺; HRFABMS m/z 1023.5380 (M+H; calcd for $C_{47}H_{71}N_{14}O_{12}$, 1023.5376). Hydrolysate 14: ¹H NMR (600 MHz in DMSO- d_6 , 320 K) δ 0.72 and 0.86 (each 3H, d, 6.9, β^S -Leu¹-H δ), 0.75 and 0.83 (each 3H, d, 6.9, Leu²-H δ), 0.77 (6H, d, 6.7, Val³-H γ), 1.24 and 1.45 (each 1H, m, Leu²-Hβ), 1.52 (2H, m, Arg⁵-Hγ), 1.57 (each 2H, m, Leu²-H γ), 1.67 and 1.69 (each 1H, m, Arg⁵-H β), 2.21 (1H, m, β ^S-Leu¹-H γ), 2.63 and 3.34 (each 1H, Trp⁴-H β), 2.84 and 3.09 (each 1H, m, His⁷-H β), 2.98 (1H, m, β ^S-Leu¹-H β), 3.12 (2H, m, Arg⁵-H_b), 3.64 and 3.67 (each 1H, m, Gly⁵-H α), 3.70 (1H, m, Val³-H α), 4.01 (1H, m, β ^S-Leu¹-H α), 4.02 (1H, m, Leu³-H α), 4.19 (1H, m, Arg⁵-H α), 4.64 (1H, m, His⁷-H α), 5.37 (1H, m, Trp⁵-H α), 6.76 (1H, m, Val³-NH), 6.83 (1H, s, Trp⁴-H7), 6.89 (1H, d, 8.8, Trp⁵-H5), 7.46 (1H, d, 8.9, Trp⁵-H4), 7.61 (1H, m, Gly⁶-NH), 7.69 (1H, m, Arg⁵-He), 7.84 (1H, m, Trp⁴-NH), 8.43 (1H, m, His⁷-NH), 8.60 (1H, m, Leu²-NH), 8.61 (1H, m, Arg⁵-NH), 11.49 (1H, s, $Trp⁴-NH1$; FABMS m/z 875 (M+H)⁺; HRFABMS m/z 876.4837 (M+H; calcd for $C_{42}H_{62}N_{13}O_8$, 876.4845).

2.7. Preparation of microtubule protein

Microtubule protein was prepared from porcine brain as described previously.^{[10](#page-8-0)} The protein concentrations were determined by the method of Lowry et al. 11 11 11 using bovine serum albumin as a standard. Microtubule assembly assays were carried out in MES buffer containing 100 mM 2-Nmorpholino ethanesulfonic acid (MES), 1 mM ethylenebis(oxyethylenenitrilo)-tetraacetic acid (EGTA), 0.5 mM $MgCl₂$, 1 mM 2-mercaptoethanol, and 1 mM guanosine 5ⁿtriphosphate trisodium salt (GTP) (pH 6.5).

2.8. Preparation of tubulin protein

Tubulin was purified from microtubule protein by phosphocellulose column chromatography.[12](#page-8-0) Protein concentration were determined by the method of Lowry et al. 11 11 11 using bovine serum albumin as a standard. Purity was assayed by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE).^{[13](#page-8-0)}

2.9. Microtubule assembly assay

Microtubule assembly was monitored spectroscopically by using a spectrophotometer equipped with a thermostatically regulated liquid circulator. The temperature was held at 37° C and changes in turbidity were monitored at 400 nm. For the drug-protein studies, $10 \mu M$ of drug dissolved in DMSO concentration was less than 1%. The turbidity changes were monitored throughout the incubation time.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Mrs S. Oka and Miss M. Kiuchi, Center for Instrumental Analysis, Hokkaido University, for measurements of FABMS. This work was partly supported by a Grant-in-Aid from the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports, and Culture of Japan, and grants from the Hokkaido Foundation for the Promotion of Scientific and Industrial

Technology and Shorai Foundation for Science and Technology.

References

- 1. For reviews of the antimitotic agents, see: (a) Iwasaki, S. Med. Res. Rev. 1993, 13, 183–198. (b) Hamel, E. Med. Res. Rev. 1996, 16, 207–231.
- 2. (a) Haar, E.; Kowalski, R. J.; Hamel, E.; Lin, C. M.; Longley, R. E.; Gunasekera, S. P.; Rosenkranz, H. S.; Day, B. W. Biochemistry 1996, 35, 243–250. (b) Kowalski, R. J.; Giannakakou, P.; Hamel, E. J. Biol. Chem. 1997, 272, 2534–2541.
- 3. Kobayashi, J.; Suzuki, H.; Shimbo, K.; Takeya, K.; Morita, H. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 6626–6633.
- 4. Morita, H.; Shimbo, T.; Shigemori, H.; Kobayashi, J. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2000, 10, 469–471.
- 5. (a) Leung, T.-W. C.; Williams, D. H.; Barna, J. C. J.; Foti, S. Tetrahedron 1986, 42, 3333–3348. (b) Leung, T.; W, C.; Williams, D. H.; Barna, J. C. J.; Foti, S. J. Org. Chem. 1989,

54, 1901–1904. (c) Kahn, S. D.; Booth, P. M.; Waltho, J. P.; Williams, D. H. J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 8406.

- 6. Li, Y.; Koiso, Y.; Hashimoto, Y.; Iwasaki, S. Biochem. Pharmacol. 1995, 49, 1367–1372.
- 7. (a) Koiso, Y.; Morita, K.; Kobayashi, M.; Wang, W.; Ohyabu, N.; Iwasaki, S. Chem. Bio. Interact. 1996, 102, 183–191. (b) Morita, K.; Koiso, Y.; Hashimoto, Y.; Kobayashi, M.; Wang, W.; Ohyabu, N.; Iwasaki, S. Biol. Pharm. Bull. 1997, 171, 174.
- 8. Li, Y.; Kobayashi, H.; Tokiwa, Y. Biochem. Pharmacol. 1992, 43, 219–224.
- 9. Li, Y.; Kobayashi, H.; Hashimoto, Y. Chem. Bio. Interact. 1994, 93, 175–183.
- 10. Takahashi, M.; Iwasaki, S.; Kobayashi, H.; Okuda, S.; Murai, T.; Sato, Y.; Haraguchi-Hiraoka, T.; Nagano, H. J. Antibiot. 1987, 40, 66–72.
- 11. Lowry, O. H.; Rosebrough, N. J.; Farr, A. L.; Randall, R. J. J. Biol. Chem. 1951, 193, 265–275.
- 12. William, R. C.; Lee, J. C. Methods Enzymol. 1982, 85, 376.
- 13. Laemmli, U. K. Nature 1970, 227, 680–685.